



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/446,834	04/14/2000	HANS-JOACHIM BECK	67190/984412	2964	
. 21171 75	590 03/14/2003	-			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STR	,		BULLOCK JR, LEW	BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2126	2	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	$\mathcal T$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				8. Y			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
		09/446,834	BECK, HANS-JOACH	BECK, HANS-JOACHIM			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	!SS			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		— · is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is			
·	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the applicat	ion					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· _	Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		e Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11)[]]	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,				
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ıge			
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	· ·					
Attachment		- -					
2) 🔯 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-1				
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings are required in this application because of Draftperson's Review. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BERRY (EP 0 725 337 A1).

As to claim 3, BERRY teaches a programming device (data processing system), comprising: a software tool (object oriented development environment, OODE) processing objects (palette of objects) (pg. 2, lines 28-29; pg. 3, lines 47-49); a first object (prototypical object) having data (attribute) (pg. 3, lines 50-57); and a second object (derived object) having a pointer (reference) (pg. 3, line 11), the first object (prototypical object) being a model (same class) for the second object (derived object)

Art Unit: 2126

(pg. 4, lines 3-6), the software tool (OODE) incorporating at least some of the data (attributes) of the first object (prototypical object) into the second object (derived object) (pg. 4, lines 3-6,"Derived objects are of the same class and instance as the prototypical object from which they were derived and take all of their attribute information from the prototypical objects."); pg. 5, lines 16-19). BERRY also teaches accessing the second object (derived object) (pg. 4, lines 27-33). It is inherent that the second object (derived object) must be created first before you can access the object. Therefore, since the attributes are stored in the second object (derived object) from the first object (prototypical object) when it is created (pg. 4, lines 3-6; pg. 5, lines 16-19) before the object is accessed, that the incorporation of data is performed at a beginning of an access to the second object.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BERRY (EP 0 725 337 A1) in view of "Java Programming Basics" by EDITH.

As to claim 4, BERRY teaches the software tool (OODE) deposits at least one data group of the data (attributes) of the first object (prototypical object) into the second

Art Unit: 2126

object (derived object). However, BERRY does not teach the software tool does not incorporate the at least one data group of the first object into the second object.

EDITH teaches the concept (inheritance / polymorphism) of not incorporating the at least one data group (private variables / static variables / overridden methods) of the first object (the class / superclass) into the second object (derived class / subclass) (pg. 7, private paragraph, static variable paragraph; pg. 11, Overriding Methods paragraph). It would be obvious by the combination of BERRY and EDITH that the prototypical object has private or static variables such that when one creates a derived object that those variables are not incorporated. It would also be obvious by the combination of BERRY and EDITH that the derived class has overriding methods corresponding to the methods of the prototypical object and that when one creates a derived object that the overridden methods of the prototypical object are not incorporated into the derived object. Therefore, it would be obvious to combine the teachings of BERRY with the teachings of EDITH in order to facilitate the control of object-oriented concepts (pg. 24, first and second paragraphs).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alvin E. Oberley can be reached on (703) 305-9716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0286.

lab

March 10, 2003

Leus a. Bullet Je